

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
WESTERN DIVISION

ANDREA WILSON,

Case No. 1:14-cv-757

Plaintiff,

vs.

Beckwith, J.
Bowman, M.J.

BRIDGWELL HOSPITAL/EVERGREEN
SOUTHWEST BEHAVIOR HEALTH, et al.,

Defendants.

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

On November 25 and December 4, 2014, the Court Ordered Plaintiff to show cause, in writing, within **TWENTY (20) DAYS**, why Defendants' motions to dismiss (Docs. 8, 11) should be construed as unopposed and granted for the reasons stated therein. (Doc. 12, 13). To date, Plaintiff has not filed a response in opposition to either of Defendants' motions to dismiss nor has she responded to the pending Show Cause Orders.

Plaintiff's failure to prosecute this matter and to obey an Order of the Court warrants dismissal of this case pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 41(b). See *Jourdan v. Jabe*, 951 F.2d 108, 109–10 (6th Cir.1991). District courts have the power to *sua sponte* dismiss civil actions for want of prosecution to “manage their own affairs so as to achieve the orderly and expeditious disposition of cases.” *Link v. Wabash R.R.*, 370 U.S. 626, 630–31, 82 S.Ct. 1386, 8 L.Ed.2d 734 (1962). See also *Jourdan*, 951 F.2d at 109. Though plaintiff is proceeding pro se, as stated by the Supreme Court, “we have never suggested that procedural rules in ordinary civil litigation should be interpreted so

as to excuse mistakes by those who proceed without counsel." *McNeil v. United States*, 508 U.S. 106, 113 (1993).

Accordingly, the undersigned **RECOMMENDS** that Plaintiff's case be **DISMISSED** for want of prosecution and for failure to obey a Court Order; and that this case be **CLOSED**.

s/ Stephanie K. Bowman
Stephanie K. Bowman
United States Magistrate Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
WESTERN DIVISION

ANDREA WILSON,

Case No. 1:14-cv-757

Plaintiff,

vs.

Beckwith, J.
Bowman, M.J.

BRIDGWELL HOSPITAL/EVERGREEN
SOUTHWEST BEHAVIOR HEALTH, et al.,

Defendants.

NOTICE

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), any party may serve and file specific, written objections to this Report & Recommendation ("R&R") within **FOURTEEN (14) DAYS** after being served with a copy thereof. That period may be extended further by the Court on timely motion by either side for an extension of time. All objections shall specify the portion(s) of the R&R objected to, and shall be accompanied by a memorandum of law in support of the objections. A party shall respond to an opponent's objections within **FOURTEEN DAYS** after being served with a copy of those objections. Failure to make objections in accordance with this procedure may forfeit rights on appeal. See *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); *United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981).